Bocconi

PUBLIC DEBT. HOW IT WORKS, HOW TO REDUCE IT.



Sylvie GoulardVice President IEP@BU
21 November 2024

Allow me to put public debt in a broader perspective

2 preliminary remarks:

- the euro is a voluntary commitment, as well as the rules framing public deficit and debt
- The EU is not a state

4 points:

- Lack of coherence
- Diversity of situations within the Euro Area
- Quality of growth
- « Indebted to nature » DNB, 2020



First preliminary remark: the euro is a voluntary commitment, in our own interest

- Belonging to the euro was voluntary.
- The objectiveswas to put an end to competitive devaluations within the single market; to safeguard price stability and financial stability
- It was not concieved by Germany to « dominate » Europe, or impose « austerity », as often (and wrongly) said in the public debate
- The German people was against the creation of a single currency. Germany (and others, in particular the NL) asked for **guarantees**.
- All governments **accepted freely** (Maastricht treaty) to limit public deficit and public debt / to accept an independent Central Bank that could not finance national spendings.
- These principles were supposed to make the euro viable as it is « a currency without a state »



Second preliminary remark: the EU is not a state

We permanently compare the Euro Area with States, in particular the US. It is a huge mistake:

- the Euro area has no central government enjoying discretionnary powers
- It has no budget as such (the EU has one that represents around 1 % of the GDP / in the US the federal budget represents around 25 %),
- the EU single market is less integrated (in particular it lacks a cross border capital markets and a genuine banking union)
- labour mobility is less developed than in the US

Governments made **huge convergence efforts before** joining the euro, but stopped afterward.

Art 121 of the treaty (to look at economy as a matter of common interest) was never taken seriously



Lack of coherence...

If we are convinced that excessive debt:

- Creates vulnerabilities:
 - costs, above all if interest rates increase;
 - Dependence (if detained by foreigners);
 - « doom-loop », if detained by national banks);
- Has a crowding-out effect (savings not used for more productive spendings);
- **Does not bring growth automatically**, as we observe that the most indebted countries in the EA are not the most dynamic (Eurofi Macro economic scoreboard, Sept 2024)

Why did governments make so many efforts to escape the EU rules ?

See the demands of « more flexibility » in implementing the rules, or to deduct some expenditures from the 3 % expenditure limit (defence or investment or greening or social etc) and to avoid sanctions.



There are diverse situations within the Euro Area

- Who buys the debt? More foreigners for France, less for Italy
- Does the state have a primary surplus? (Italy, yes; France no)
- What about structural reforms? (stronger efforts in Italy for pensions, in 2011; Fr 2022 / gender unbalanced labour market in Italy more than in France)
- Which level of taxes? Much higher in France (one the highest in the OECD) than in Italy the Italian government could reduce dramatically the level of debt by introducing a wealth tax for example; less margin of manoeuver in FR
- Demography: for long France had an advantage, less true now



Quality of growth?

- Which denominator (GDP) ? the quality of public spending / the nature of growth matter a lot to determine the capacity to reimburse
- Are debts made to finance decarbonation and the transition to become nature positive?
- Or are they partly harmful subsidies, including at EU level? Such as part of the CAP
- NGEU: joint indebment without knowing how to repay / with which tax equity



We are « indebted to nature »

- Financial debt is only one aspect of our indebtness
- Report drafted by the Dutch Central bank, 2020
- Time to take into account the use of natural capital / to price negative externalities and the value of ecosystem services



THANKS.

